Session: 2023/24 Last modified:

Title of Module: Quality Impro	vement in Healthcar	e			
Code: NURS11049	SCQF Level: 11 (Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework)	Credit Points: 20	ECTS: 10 (European Credit Transfer Scheme)		
School:	School of Health and Life Sciences				
Module Co-ordinator:	Rachael cannon				

Summary of Module

Failures in healthcare quality and safety are a dominant national and international issue (WHO, 2014) it is estimated, 1 in 10 patients is harmed while receiving hospital care, and 7 in every 100 hospitalized patients can expect to acquire a healthcare-associated infection, within high-income countries (WHO, 2020). The NHS ceaselessly promotes and advocates for engagement in quality improvement QI in order to deliver the highest quality healthcare outcomes, at the lowest possible cost (Jabbal and Lewis, 2018). However international healthcare systems are still recovering from a global pandemic exacerbating excessive demands and challenges (Reece *et al* 2018). QI over the last decade has gained the attention of not only clinicians but also managers and policymakers (Knezeric, 2019). It has been highlighted that the National Health Service (NHS) in Scotland is now a world leader in patient safety and QI (Jeffcott, 2014), based on the developments associated with the Health Care Quality Strategy (Scottish Government, 2010) and the 2030 Vision (Scottish Government, 2017)

Quality improvement has attempted to address identified gaps in healthcare quality, however QI methodologies concepts and tools advocated have rapidly changed from one favoured concept to another (Reese *et al*) Additionally, healthcare is a complex system of processes and procedures in which Quality improvement methodologies have demonstrated variable inconsistent results with limited benefit (Coles, 2017) no actual change or improved outcomes with potential barriers identified in the designing delivery and sustaining of the quality improvement initiative (Jones, 2019) This module aims to develop, therefore, practitioners' knowledge and understanding relating to the variety of QI methodologies, models of quality improvement, the tools and techniques used, thus enabling them to use a variety of different approaches to realise the potential of the improvements being undertaken.

The above skills acquisition, contributes to the development of the **UWS Graduate Attributes: Universal** - critical thinking, analytical, inquiring, culturally aware, emotionally intelligent, ethically-minded, culturally aware, collaborative, research-minded and socially responsible; **Work-Ready** -knowledgeable, digitally literate, effective communicator, motivated, potential leader; and **Successful** -autonomous, incisive, creative, resilient and daring.

Module Deliv	ery Method				
Face-To- Face	Blended	Fully Online	HybridC	HybridO	Work-based Learning
		✓			

Face-To-Face

Term used to describe the traditional classroom environment where the students and the lecturer meet synchronously in the same room for the whole provision.

Blended

A mode of delivery of a module or a programme that involves online and face-to-face delivery of learning, teaching and assessment activities, student support and feedback. A programme may be considered "blended" if it includes a combination of face-to-face, online and blended modules. If an online programme has any compulsory face-to-face and campus elements it must be described as blended with clearly articulated delivery information to manage student expectations

Fully Online

Instruction that is solely delivered by web-based or internet-based technologies. This term is used to describe the previously used terms distance learning and e learning.

HvbridC

Online with mandatory face-to-face learning on Campus

HybridO

Online with optional face-to-face learning on Campus

Work-based Learning

Learning activities where the main location for the learning experience is in the workplace.

Campus(es)	for Module Do	elivery						
	vill normally b ble student nur		ne following	g ca	impuses / or	r by Distance/Onlin	e Learning:	
Paisley:	Ayr:	Dumfries:	Lanarkshi	re:	London:	Distance/Online Learning:	e Other:	
			>			✓		
Term(s) for	Module Deli	very						
(Provided via	able student r	numbers pern	nit).					
Term 1	✓	Term 2				Term 3		

Learning Outcomes: (maximum of 5 statements)

On successful completion of this module the student will be able to:

- L1. Critically appraise improvement methodologies, their development, implementation and implications within healthcare settings.
- L2. Review and evaluate differing approached to continuous quality improvement and their relevance and applicability within a healthcare setting.
- L3. Systematically appraise evaluation strategies, examining impact and effectiveness within the healthcare setting.

Employability Skills and Personal Development Planning (PDP) Skills

SCQF Headings	During completion of this module, there will be an opportunity to achieve core skills in:
Knowledge and Understanding (K and U)	SCQF Level 11. Demonstrate and or work with a critical understanding of the principal theories, principles and concepts.

Practice: Applied Knowledge and Understanding	techniques and practice	a significant range of the principal skills, es relating to improvement methodologies. and creativity in the application of knowledge, practices.
Generic Cognitive skills	forefront or informed by methods.	nate and synthesise issues which are at the developments relating to quality improvement and define new and abstract problems and
	Develop original and cr Critically review, conso	eative responses to problems and issues. lidate and extend knowledge, skills practices to continuous quality improvement.
Communication, ICT and Numeracy Skills	SCQF Level 11. Undertake critical evalu graphical data.	ations using a wide range of numerical and
Autonomy, Accountability and Working with others	contribution to change	draw on critical reflection on own and others
Pre-requisites:	Before undertaking this the following:	module the student should have undertaken
	Module Code:	Module Title:
	Other:	
Co-requisites	Module Code:	Module Title:

^{*} Indicates that module descriptor is not published.

Learning and Teaching

Face-To-Face

Term used to describe the traditional classroom environment where the students and the lecturer meet synchronously in the same room for the whole provision.

Fully Online

Instruction that is solely delivered by web-based or internet-based technologies. This term is used to describe the previously used terms distance learning and e learning.

All students will be expected to work through all aspects of the module using the Moodle system. They will be expected to engage fully in the planned discussions and activities with other students from their cohort.

Learning Activities During completion of this module, the learning activities undertaken to achieve the module learning outcomes are stated below:	Student Learning Hours (Normally totalling 200 hours): (Note: Learning hours include both contact hours and hours spent on other learning activities)
Personal Development Plan	4
Tutorial/Synchronous Support Activity	20
Lecture/Core Content Delivery	16
Independent Study	152
Asynchronous Class Activity	8
	200 Hours Total

^{**}Indicative Resources: (eg. Core text, journals, internet access)

The following materials form essential underpinning for the module content and ultimately for the learning outcomes:

There are no core texts for this module: all are considered recommended reading:

https://uws-

uk.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/leganto/public/44PAI_INST/lists?courseCode=NURS110 49&auth=SAML&idpCode=44PAI_Azure4LegantoCustom

Websites

<u>www.hqip.org.uk/resource/guide-to-quality-improvement-methods/</u> (excellent resource covering methodology and tools)

Healthcare Improvement Scotland

https://www.ihi.org/

https://www.health.org.uk/

https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/

Cite Them Right - Setting out citations (citethemrightonline.com) (log in required)

McLaughlin C.P, Johnson J.K, Solleciko W.A. (2011) Implementing Continious Quality Improvement in Healthcare: A Global Casebook. London: Jones & Bartlett

Powell A, Rushmer R, Davis H. (2009) A systematic narrative review of quality improvement models in healthcare. Edinburgh: NHS Quality Improvement Scotland.

Scottish Government Health Department (2008) Leading Better Care. Edinburgh.

Sherwood G and Barnsteiner, J. (2012) Quality and Safety in Nursing: A competency Approach To Improving Outcomes. Oxford:Wiley-Blackwell.

Gillan, S and Siriwardena, A.N (2014) Quality Improvement in Primary Care. Oxford: Radcliffe

Baillie, L., Maxwell, E. (2017) Improving Healthcare; A Handbook for Practitioners: Routledge

International Journal for Quality in Healthcare

Journal for Healthcare Quality

(**N.B. Although reading lists should include current publications, students are advised (particularly for material marked with an asterisk*) to wait until the start of session for confirmation of the most up-to-date material)

Engagement Requirements

In line with the Academic Engagement Procedure, Students are defined as academically engaged if they are regularly engaged with timetabled teaching sessions, course-related learning resources including those in the Library and on the relevant learning platform, and complete assessments and submit these on time. Please refer to the Academic Engagement Procedure at the following link: Academic engagement procedure

Supplemental Information

Programme Board	Midwifery & Specialist Nursing
Assessment Results (Pass/Fail)	No
Subject Panel	Adult Nursing Community and Health L9-11
Moderator	Angela Bonar
External Examiner	Morya Journeaux
Accreditation Details	
Version Number	1.15

Assessment: (also refer to Assessment Outcomes Grids below)

Summative Part 1: A modified literature review around quality improvement in healthcare (70%)

Summative Part 2: Presentation of a poster outlining a systematic critique of a chosen to a quality improvement method (30%)

(N.B. (i) Assessment Outcomes Grids for the module (one for each component) can be found below which clearly demonstrate how the learning outcomes of the module will be assessed.

(ii) An **indicative schedule** listing approximate times within the academic calendar when assessment is likely to feature will be provided within the Student Handbook.)

Assessment Outcome Grids (Footnote A.)

Component 1	1				
Assessment Type (Footnote B.)	Learning Outcome (1)	Learning Outcome (2)	Learning Outcome (3)	Weighting (%) of Assessment Element	Timetabled Contact Hours
Essay	~	>		70	0
Component 2	2				
Assessment Type (Footnote B.)	Learning Outcome (1)	Learning Outcome (2)	Learning Outcome (3)	Weighting (%) of Assessment Element	Timetabled Contact Hours
Presentation			✓	30	0
Com	Combined Total For All Components			100%	0 hours

Footnotes

- A. Referred to within Assessment Section above
- B. Identified in the Learning Outcome Section above

Note(s):

- 1. More than one assessment method can be used to assess individual learning outcomes.
- 2. Schools are responsible for determining student contact hours. Please refer to University Policy on contact hours (extract contained within section 10 of the Module Descriptor guidance note).
 - This will normally be variable across Schools, dependent on Programmes &/or Professional requirements.

Equality and Diversity

The School of Health and Life Sciences believes that education and practitioner diversity are central to achieving quality of care.

Within this module, quality of care, inclusiveness and employability are achieved by:

- Provision of a welcoming and supportive culture that promotes accessibility and equal opportunities to students and prospective students
- Promotion of confidence and knowledge of their rights as a student and employee
- Promotion of respect and knowledge of client diversity, their needs, rights and associated practitioner responsibilities

The above aims are supported by staff belief in fairness and equal opportunities and thus guide the content, teaching and learning, assessment and evaluation.

Anticipatory' and 'reasonable' adjustments are grounded in 'competence standards' – specifically, the core requirements for progression / achievement in placement / module. Anticipatory adjustments have been made and 'reasonable adjustments' can be made available in teaching and learning strategies to promote accessibility of the module. For students who have disclosed a disability, the UWS Disability Service (DS) will assess the

individual's strengths and support needs and then forward a Student Support Form to the appropriate DS Co-ordinator who will circulate the form to all of the relevant teaching staff. 'Reasonable adjustments' may include adapted or specialised clinical equipment (e.g. electronic stethoscope, coloured overlays) or further specialist assessment or support (e.g. assessment by an Educational Psychologist, extended time for presentations or assessments). If funding is required, this can be organised by the DS and funded by the School. Reasonable adjustments, in line with DS recommendations for the assessment of the individual student can be assured.

Processes and procedures have been subject to Equality Impact Screening and where appropriate Equality Impact Assessment. Evaluation by all key stakeholders throughout the life of the module is also central to meeting our commitments.

Students should approach the Disability Service as early as possible to discuss support. Details of the service can be found at: www.uws.ac.uk/disabilityservice/

(N.B. Every effort will be made by the University to accommodate any equality and diversity issues brought to the attention of the School)

UWS Equality and Diversity Policy

(N.B. Every effort will be made by the University to accommodate any equality and diversity issues brought to the attention of the School)